IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT :

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE C.N.RAMACHANDRAN NAIR

MONDAY, THE 12TH NOVEMBER 2007 / 21ST KARTHIKA 1929

RP.No. 473 of 2003(D)

AGAINST THE JUDGEMENT/ORDER IN OP.14950/2003 Dated 26/05/2003
.....

: REVIEW PETITIONER/PETITIONER IN OP

O.PRADEEPAN, GEETHA NIVAS,
P.O.VENGAD, KANNUR DISTRICT.

BY ADV. SRI.A.K.ABDUL AZEEZ

RESPONDENTS: RESPONDENTS
---

1. THE REGIONAL TRANSPORT AUTHORITY,
KANNUR, REPRESENTED BY ITS SECRETARY

2. THE SECRETARY, REGIONAL TRANSPORT
AUTHORITY, VATAKARA.

3. THE SECRETARY, REGIONAL TRANSPORT
AUTHORITY, KANNUR.

4. E.BASHEER, EDATHIL HOUSE, ORAVIL POST,
NADAVANNUR.

BY ADV. SHRI.V.TEKCHAND, GOVERNMENT PLEADER

THIS REVIEW PETITION HAVING BEEN FINALLY HEARD ON 12/11/2007, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY PASSED THE FOLLOWING:

C.N.RAMACHANDRAN NAIR, J.

R.P.No. 473 of 2003

in

O.P. No. 14950 of 2003

Dated, this the 12th day of November, 2007

O R D E R

The judgment against which review petition is filed was rendered in May 2003. The grievance is against variation of permit granted to the 4th respondent. Since more than four years have elapsed from the date of the judgment, the Petitioner has to necessarily challenge the last order granting variation of permit and running time in revision. This review petition is, accordingly, closed leaving freedom to the review petitioner to file revision, against variation of permit and running time granted to the 4th respondent, if any. Petitioner is free to point out pendency of review petition as a ground for condoning the delay.

(C.N.RAMACHANDRAN NAIR, JUDGE)

jg